Summary: The formation of finite-sized microgel particles was revealed by photon correlation spectroscopy in aqueous solutions of hydrophobically associative polyelectrolyte polystyrene-block-poly(sodium methacrylate)-block-polystyrene. Starting from the lowest polymer concentration (1 × 10^{-6} g · L^{-1}) up to 1 g · L^{-1} a single relaxation process dominates the spectrum of relaxation times of the polymer solutions. This dominant mode was attributed to the diffusive motion of supramolecular microgel particles with a size of about 100 nm. Above a polyelectrolyte concentration of 1.0 g · L^{-1}, the size of the microgel grows up to ca. 300 nm. At a polymer concentration 20 g · L^{-1}, macroscopic phase separation occurs: the liquid phase of the microgel-cluster solution coexists with the phase of the macroscopic gel.

Schematic representation of the formation of supramolecular structures in aqueous solutions of hydrophobically associative polyelectrolyte.
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Introduction

Water-soluble associative polymers have attracted great attention during recent years. These objects are interesting both for researchers and engineers. One reason for this is a diversity of practical applications of these systems. Ecologically friendly water-based technologies are used in medicine, food industry, cosmetics, oil recovery, coatings, etc. The special place among associative polymers belongs to associative polyelectrolytes, which comprise a diversity of natural polymers, playing a vital role in living systems.

Despite the fact that associative polymers have been explored both experimentally and theoretically for several decades,[1–7] limited work has been done so far to describe the phase behavior of aqueous solutions of associative polyelectrolytes. A theoretical approach to the problem was undertaken recently for end-capped polyelectrolytes by two associative groups.[8] One of the original and most
interesting predictions of the theory is the existence of an equilibrium phase of microgel clusters formed by associative polyelectrolytes in aqueous solution. Inside the microgel different polymer chains form a network where the intermolecular aggregates of the sticker groups play the role of cross-linkers. The size of the clusters is determined by the interplay of opposing effects. While the increase of association energy of the sticker groups of the polymer makes the physical cross-links more stable and favors the growth of the aggregates, electrostatic repulsion of the charged groups of the polymer chains and translational entropy of mobile counter-ions counteracts the growth. Upon the increase of polymer concentration a macroscopic gel phase appears in coexistence with a supernatant phase of microgels. Addition of polymer to the system at this stage causes the growth of the macroscopic gel phase until it occupies the whole volume of the system.

Meanwhile, no unambiguous evidence of the existence of such microgels in aqueous solutions of associative polyelectrolytes has been obtained experimentally. In some cases, in dilute aqueous solutions of hydrophobically modified polyelectrolytes, the particles of several tens of nm in size were observed.\[9–11\] Nevertheless, the identification of these particles with the microgel clusters of finite size is still not clear. In addition, no experimental data indicating the existence of supramolecular aggregates in supernatant phase in macrophase-separated solutions of associative polyelectrolytes are available.

The present study of the association behavior of model polyelectrolytes in aqueous media was undertaken in order to cover the lack of systematic investigation of the phase behavior of associative polyelectrolytes in solutions. In particular, the existence of microgel clusters of finite size was of primary interest for us. A polystyrene-block-poly(sodium methacrylate)-block-polystyrene ((PS)₂PfMAna) copolymer synthesized by anionic polymerization was used as an associative polyelectrolyte. The polystyrene terminal blocks undergo hydrophobic association in aqueous media playing the role of the sticker groups, while the dissociation of the sodium acrylate units ensures a polyelectrolyte character of the intermediate block. The well-defined structure of the polymer (known lengths of the blocks, narrow molecular weight distribution) makes it very promising as a model substance. In this paper the results of a structural and dynamic study by means of photon correlation spectroscopy of aqueous solutions of this polymer are presented.

**Experimental Part**

**Synthesis and Characterization of the Model Triblock Copolymer**

The sample was prepared by anionic polymerization using high vacuum techniques. The monomers (styrene, S, tert-butyl methacrylate, tert-BuMA and 1,1-diphenylethylene, DPE) and the solvent (tetrahydrofuran, THF) were purified according to well-established procedures.\[12\] The initiator, s-BuLi was prepared by the reaction of s-BuCl with Li under vacuum. S (10.2 g) was polymerized first in THF at −78 °C using s-BuLi (2.75 × 10⁻⁴ mol) as initiator. After the completion of the polymerization a small amount of DPE was introduced (3:1 molar ratio for the living ends) followed by the addition of tert-BuMA (4.1 g), which was distilled into the reactor for the synthesis of the living polystyrene-block-poly(tert-butyl methacrylate) lithium salt (PS-b-PBuMA Li⁺) diblock copolymer. The living polymer was then reacted with 1,4-di(bromomethyl)benzene (1.30 × 10⁻⁴ mol) to form the triblock copolymer PS-b-PBuMA-b-PS as illustrated in Scheme 1. It has been previously shown that (bromomethyl)benzene derivatives can be efficiently used as linking agents for poly(methacrylate) chains.\[13,14\] The linking reaction was allowed to take place at −78 °C for 24 h. Heat-sealing samples from the reactor of the PS block or the PS-b-PBuMA diblock copolymer is not possible, because of the pyrolysis of THF, which will form by-products that will terminate the living polymer chains. Therefore, the final product of the linking reaction was analyzed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC), as shown in Figure 1. The excess diblock copolymer was removed by fractionation using toluene/heptane as the solvent/non-solvent system. The pure product was finally precipitated in heptane and was dried under vacuum for several days.
for 1 h under continuous stirring before the next dilution took place. The whole series of the samples were stirred for 24 h from the start of the preparation procedure at 60 °C and then left for equilibration for at least 3 d. Prior to light scattering experiments the prepared polymer solutions were filtered through cellulose acetate Millipore membranes with a 0.45 µm pore size.

Photon Correlation Spectroscopy

The intermediate scattering function \( C(q,t) \) was computed from the measured auto-correlation function \( G(q,t) = \langle I(q,t)I(0,0)/I(q,0) \rangle \) of the light-scattering intensity recorded by an ALV-5000 full digital correlator at different wave vectors \( q \) over the broad time range \( 10^{-6} \to 10^{-3} \) s; \( f^0 \) is a coherence instrumental factor. The light source was a Nd/YAG dye-pumped, air-cooled laser (Adlas DPY 325) with a single mode intensity of 100 mW at the wavelength \( \lambda = 532 \) nm. The scattering angle was varied between 20 and 150°.

The analysis of \( C(q,t) \) proceeded by the inverse Laplace transformation using a constrained regularized CONTIN method:

\[
C(q,t) = \int L(\ln \tau) \exp(-\tau/\tau)d \ln \tau
\]

assuming only a superposition of exponential functions. The distribution \( L(\ln \tau) \) of relaxation times can exhibit more than one peak characterized by its position \( \tau_i \) and the area \( z_i \) which define the relaxation rate \( \Gamma_i = 1/\tau_i \) and the intensity \( I(q) = z_i q f(q) + I(q) \) the total scattering intensity at a given \( q \).

The validity of the performed analysis was evidenced by consistent and physically meaningful \( q \)-dependencies for the intensity and rate of the contributing modes to the experimental relaxation function.\(^{[16]}\)

Results and Discussion

Homogeneous Solutions of Associative Polyelectrolyte

Aqueous solutions of \((PS)_2PMANa\) copolymer over the concentration range \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) to \( 20 \) g · L \(^{-1} \) were studied by photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS). The field correlation functions \( C(q,t) \) together with distribution functions \( L(\ln \tau) \) are presented in Figure 2. It is seen that for concentrations below \( 10 \) g · L \(^{-1} \), the relaxation distribution function \( L(\ln \tau) \) is dominated by a single process.

The scattering intensity \( I_1 \) and relaxation rate \( \Gamma_1 \) associated with the main relaxation process are shown in Figure 3a and 3b as a function of \( q^2 \) for \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) g · L \(^{-1} \) solution of \((PS)_2PMANa\). It is seen that the scattering intensity \( I_1(q) \) drastically changes with \( q \) (Figure 3a). The interpretation of these data depends on the underlying scattering mechanism. In principle, both pretransitional strong concentration fluctuations and supramolecular assemblies can lead to the behavior displayed in Figure 3. However, the present dilute solution is far from any phase boundary and the relaxation

Preparation of Polymer Solutions

In its initial state with hydrophilic units in the acidic form, the polymer under study is not soluble in water. For the preparation of aqueous solutions, the polymer was dissolved in the presence of sodium hydroxide sufficient for a complete neutralization of its acidic groups. The dissolution took place under continuous stirring at 60 °C for one day and the obtained solutions were left for equilibration at ambient temperature. Before measurements samples were left to equilibrate at room temperature without stirring for at least 3 d. Solutions with polymer concentrations below \( 1 \) g · L \(^{-1} \) (stock solution) were prepared by consecutive dilutions as follows: a few mL of the stock solution were diluted 10 times and maintained at 60 °C

The sample was characterized by SEC and membrane osmometry. SEC experiments were conducted at 40 °C using a modular instrument consisting of a Waters Model 510 pump, a Waters Model U6K sample injector, a Waters Model 401 differential refractometer, a Waters Model 486 UV spectrophotometer, and a set of four m-Styragel columns with a continuous porosity range from \( 10^6 \) to \( 10^3 \) Å. The columns were housed in an oven thermostated at 40 °C. THF was the carrier solvent at a flow rate of 1 mL · min \(^{-1} \). A Jupiter Model 231 membrane osmometer was used for the determination of the number-average molecular weight, \( M_n \) at 35 °C. Toluene distilled over CaH \(_2\) was the solvent, whereas cellulose acetate membranes, purchased by Jupiter Instruments Co. were employed. The molecular weight distribution of the sample from the SEC analysis was 1.06 and the number-average molecular weight, \( M_n \) by MO was 31 400. According to \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy the triblock copolymer contains 4.6 wt-% PS mean-fluorization equal to \( 211 \), PS 7.1–polymerization equal to 7.1 and the \( P_{\text{BuMA}} \) middle block is equal to \( 211 \), PS 7.1–polymerization equal to 7.1 and the \( P_{\text{BuMA}} \) middle block is equal to \( 211 \), PS 7.1–polymerization equal to 7.1 and the \( P_{\text{BuMA}} \) middle block is equal to 211. \( (PS)_2PMANa \) the triblock copolymer contains 4.6 wt-% PS mean-fluorization equal to \( 211 \), PS 7.1–polymerization equal to 7.1 and the \( P_{\text{BuMA}} \) middle block is equal to \( 211 \), PS 7.1–polymerization equal to 7.1 and the \( P_{\text{BuMA}} \) middle block is equal to 211. The tert-butyl groups were subsequently hydrolyzed using aqueous 6 N HCl in dioxane at 85 °C for 5 h.\(^{[15]}\) \(^1\)H NMR analysis (Varian Unity Plus 300/54) using \((D_2)DMSO\) as the solvent revealed the complete hydrolysis of the tert-butyl groups.
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rate exhibits stronger than pure diffusive behavior, typical for near-critical thermodynamic fluctuations. Hence, we will discuss the data in terms of object-like supramolecular structures at dilute conditions.

To estimate the size of these objects we utilize the angular dependence of the scattering intensity corresponding to their translation diffusion (Figure 3a). The Debye theory of light scattering on the dilute solution of non-interacting particles predicts a linear dependence of the scattering intensity corresponding to the size of the diffusing particles. Using the refractive index contrast, $dn/dC_p = 0.17$ mL·g⁻¹ (15) and $K = 4.2 \times 10^{-7}$ cm²·mol⁻¹·g⁻¹, $M_w$ is estimated to be about $5 \times 10^8$ g·mol⁻¹. The reality of such a high value of molecular weight $M_w$ can be checked by the calculation of the average polymer volume fraction inside the microgel particles $\phi = 3M_w/(\rho_pN_A4\pi R^3)$, where the density of the polymer $\rho_p$ was taken to be about 1 g·mL⁻¹ and the size of the microgels $R \approx 110$ nm (Figure 4). This calculation gives the value of $\phi \approx 0.15$, which is several times higher than the value of polymer volume fraction calculated for physical gels of polyelectrolyte telechelics in ref. (8) but still quite below the upper physical limit of $\phi = 1$.

Complementary information on the structure of the particles can be obtained from the analysis of the relaxation rate $\Gamma(q)$ in Figure 3b. A purely diffusive relaxation rate $\Gamma(q)$ ($\propto q^2$) is usually observed for particles with $q \cdot R \ll 1$, which applies in our case only for the lowest $q$ values (vertical dashed line in the Figure 3b). The faster rate at high $q$ results from the contribution of internal dynamics of the diffusing particles.
particles. The translational diffusion coefficient of the particles, \(D_0\), is obtained as a low \(q\) limit of the effective diffusion coefficient \(D_{\text{eff}} = \Gamma(q)/q^2\). Both the low value of \(D_0 = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\) and the strong \(q\)-dependent \(I_q(q)\) corroborate the assumption that the scattering arises from supramolecular objects. The hydrodynamic radius \(R_h\) of these objects can be obtained from the Stokes-Einstein relation:

\[
R_h = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta D_0}
\]  

where \(k_B\) and \(T\) are the Boltzmann constant and absolute temperature, and \(\eta\), the solvent viscosity. At \(C_p = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}\), \(R_h\) amounts to ca. 80 nm. This value is in a good agreement with the value of \(q (\approx 0.01 \text{ nm}^{-1})\) above which \(\Gamma(q)\) deviates from a purely diffusive dependence. Hence, the analysis of the scattering intensity and rate dependencies on \(q\) gives comparable values for the hydrodynamic radius \(R_h\), the radius of gyration \(R_g\), and the size of the scattering particles \(R\). The analysis of the PCS data for the other polymer concentrations yields similar results (Figure 4).

For (PS)\(_2\)PMANa concentrations above 0.01 g \cdot L\(^{-1}\), aside from the main dominant process, the distribution \(L(\ln \tau)\) displays an additional weak peak at short relaxation times. The cooperative diffusion of mobile counter-ions and the polymer chains promoted by long-range electrostatic interactions can be responsible for the appearance of this fast relaxation mode. Alternatively, this mode can be interpreted as a manifestation of the diffusive motion of the single polymer chains or their micellar aggregates (see below). Unfortunately, the weak intensity of this fast relaxation process does not allow a reliable analysis of the data.

The dimension of the scattering particles retains its value of about 100 nm over a wide range of the polymer concentrations (from \(1 \times 10^{-6}\) to 1 g \cdot L\(^{-1}\)), whereas the size of the particles increases up to 300 nm approaching the macrophase separation region.

An insight to the nature of the scattering objects can result from the comparison with the dimensions of the structures usually observed in solutions of telechelic polymers. From the available data in the literature, polymers end-capped by associative groups exist in selective solvents in the form of single chains and/or flower-like micelles.\(^{118–21}\)

As was mentioned above, an estimate of the size of a single coil (PS)\(_2\)PMANa is about 7 nm. The upper boundary for the flower-like micelle radius is 30 nm, which corresponds to a fully stretched hydrophilic part of the (PS)\(_2\)PMANa molecule in the corona. Both the single chains (7 nm) and the flower-like micelles (30 nm) are clearly smaller than the objects probed by PCS in (PS)\(_2\)PMANa aqueous solutions (100 nm). Hence, the microgels proposed by Potemkin et al.\(^8\) or multi-core micelles proposed by Noda and Morishima\(^9\) are better approximations of the structure of (PS)\(_2\)PMANa in aqueous solutions. The internal structure of the microgel clusters can be proposed as a network of flower-like micelles interconnected by bridging chains (Figure 5).

At low polymer concentrations (from \(1 \times 10^{-6}\) to 1 g \cdot L\(^{-1}\)) the size of the microgels is about 100 nm with the hydrodynamic radius \(R_h\) being less than \(R_g\), which implies diffusion of rather loose particles drained by the solvent or objects with uneven or anisotropic shape. An increase of the polymer concentration above 1 g \cdot L\(^{-1}\) is characterized by the growth of the aggregates up to 300 nm and by the change of the relation between hydrodynamic \(R_h\) and gyration \(R_g\) radii. Above 1 g \cdot L\(^{-1}\), the hydrodynamic

---

Figure 4. Estimation of the hydrodynamic radius \(R_h\) (circles) and the radius of gyration \(R_g\) (squares) of the scattering particles for different (PS)\(_2\)PMANa concentrations. The vertical dashed line marks the boundary between regions of the homogeneous state and macrophase separation (see text).

Figure 5. Schematic representation of the formation of supramolecular structures in aqueous solutions of telechelic polyelectrolyte (see text).
radius $R_0$ becomes larger than $R_g$, which corresponds to the diffusion of compact objects (Figure 4).

According to ref. [8], the optimum size of a microgel is determined by the balance of association energy favoring growth, and electrostatic repulsion together with translation entropy of counter-ions counteracting the growth. At low polymer concentrations, the entropy of mobile counter-ions in the outer solution dominates and the major fraction of counter-ions escapes from the aggregates, which become charged. The positive energy of electrostatic interaction of noncompensated charges of the microgels constrains their growth. An increase of polymer concentration (or concentration of microgels in solution) suppresses the counter-ions entropy. Nevertheless, the size of the microgels remains constant, until the contribution of the translational entropy of counter-ions becomes comparable with the energy of electrostatic repulsion. Then, the fraction of counter-ions trapped inside the aggregates increases; microgels grow and become more compact (Figure 4 and 5). Hence, the evolution of the size and shape of the observed microgels with the increase of associative polyelectrolyte concentration can be qualitatively realized in terms of the model of finite-sized clusters.

**Macroscopic Phase Separation**

At high polyelectrolyte concentrations (20 g·L$^{-1}$) a macroscopic phase separation is observed. Centrifugation of the polymer solution at 20 g·L$^{-1}$ speeds up the separation into a supernatant liquid phase and a precipitant phase of a macroscopic physical gel allowing for a PCS experiment for both the phases. The intermediate scattering functions of the two phases along with the relaxation distribution functions $L(ln \tau)$ are shown in Figure 6. For comparison, Figure 6a displays the same quantities for the 10 g·L$^{-1}$ homogeneous solution. The low contrast of $C(q,t)$ in the gel phase is an indication of a non-ergodic behavior and hence the two peaks of $L(ln \tau)$ at long times depend on the probed volume.

The multimode spectra of relaxation times observed for two liquid phases (Figure 6a,b) can be a manifestation of the polydispersity of scattering particles. Nevertheless, three relaxation processes obtained for a polymer concentration of 10 g·L$^{-1}$ (Figure 6a) and supernatant phase at 20 g·L$^{-1}$ were resolved with quite good reproducibility over a wide $q$-range (from $5 \times 10^{-3}$ to $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ nm$^{-1}$). The rates of both fast modes followed purely diffusive dependence. At the same time $q^2$ dependences of the relaxation rate and scattering intensity of the slowest process qualitatively resemble those observed for lower polymer concentrations (Figure 3). All these observations allow us to exclude the effect of polydispersity on the structure of relaxation spectra for high polymer concentrations (10 and 20 g·L$^{-1}$) and to apply the approach described above for analysis of the data obtained for these high polymer concentrations.

The scattering functions for the homogeneous 10 g·L$^{-1}$ (Figure 6) and phase-separated solution in the supernatant phase (Figure 6b) look alike. Two fast relaxation modes, slightly slowed down, can also be resolved in the $L(ln \tau)$ of the gel phase (Figure 6c). To compare the rates of these relaxation modes the apparent diffusion coefficients $D_0$ were calculated. The obtained values are listed in Table 1.

These results can be rationalized within the framework of the model of macroscopic phase separation presented in ref. [8]. The phase coexistence for $C_p > 10$ g·L$^{-1}$ can be identified with the microgel (supernatant) solution and the macroscopic gel (lower) phase. The closeness of the rates of modes 1 and 2 in all three cases (Figure 6 and Table 1) is evidence of the identity of fast dynamics in both the finite gel clusters and the percolated macroscopic gel. Slightly lower values of the apparent diffusion coefficients for modes 1 and 2 in the bulk of the macroscopic network (Table 1) can be related to the screening of electrostatic interactions and suppression of the mobility of polymer chains in this phase. The relaxation mode 3 in 10 g·L$^{-1}$
Table 1. The apparent diffusion coefficients $D_0$ calculated for the different relaxation modes for 10 g · L$^{-1}$ (PS)$_2$PMANa solution, and for supernatant and gel phases at the average (PS)$_2$PMANa concentration of 20 g · L$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of mode (Figure 6)</th>
<th>10 g · L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>20 g · L$^{-1}$ (supernatant phase)</th>
<th>20 g · L$^{-1}$ (gel phase)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ · s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ · s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ · s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32 ± 6</td>
<td>32 ± 5</td>
<td>18 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.224 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.268 ± 0.026</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(PS)$_2$PMANa solution and in the supernatant phase at 20 g · L$^{-1}$ solution can be attributed to the translational diffusive motion of microgel particles, which allows us to calculate the dimensions of the particles. Both hydrodynamic $R_h$ and gyration $R_g$ radii give values of about 300 nm (Figure 4). The coincidence within the experimental error of the microgels dimensions in the homogeneous solution at 10 g · L$^{-1}$ (Figure 6a) and in the supernatant phase at 20 g · L$^{-1}$ (Figure 6b) indicates that the observed macrophase separation is a truly equilibrium state. If this is the case, the size of the microgels should not change as soon as the new phase of the macroscopic gel is formed in the system upon increase of the polymer concentration. In the region of macrophase separation, the addition of the polymer to the system, therefore, leads to the growth of the volume occupied by the macrogel phase, while the size and concentration of the microgels in the supernatant phase remains constant. Hence, we can conclude that the polymer solution at a concentration of 10 g · L$^{-1}$ falls into a pre-transitional region followed by a macrophase separation, where the macroscopic physical gel coexists with a homogeneous solution of microgel clusters at polymer concentrations above 20 g · L$^{-1}$.

Conclusion

The formation of supramolecular structures was revealed in aqueous solutions of the associative polyelectrolyte polystyrene-block-poly(sodium methacrylate)-block-polystyrene by photon correlation spectroscopy. The observed particles have a size of about 100 nm over a wide range of the polymer concentrations (from $1 \times 10^{-6}$ to 1 g · L$^{-1}$), they then increase in size up to 300 nm approaching the macrophase separation region. At about 20 g · L$^{-1}$ macroscopic phase separation occurs: a macroscopic physical gel coexists with the solution of supramolecular clusters. The obtained data are discussed in terms of a model of finitesized microgel clusters. A good qualitative agreement of the theoretical predictions with experimental observations is demonstrated.
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